# 6.3000: Signal Processing

# **Discrete Fourier Transform 2**

- Frequency Resolution
- Circular Convolution

#### Last Time

Define the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT). Compare the DFT to other Fourier representations.

#### analysis

#### synthesis

**DTFS:** 
$$X[k] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n = \langle N \rangle} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi k}{N}n} \qquad x[n] = \sum_{k = \langle N \rangle} X[k] e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N}n}$$

**DTFT:** 
$$X(\Omega) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n]e^{-j\Omega n}$$
  $x[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} X(\Omega)e^{j\Omega n} d\Omega$ 

**DFT:** 
$$X[k] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi k}{N}n} \qquad x[n] = \sum_{n=\langle N \rangle} X[k] e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N}n}$$

The 64-point DFT of  $x_2[n] = \cos \frac{3\pi n}{64}$ 



is equal to the 64-point DTFS of the periodic extension of  $x_2[n]$ .



From this perspective, the large number of non-zero frequency components in the DFT of  $x_2$  are needed to generate the step discontinuity at n = 64.

Graphical depiction of relation between DFT and DTFT.



While sampling and scaling are important, it is the **windowing** that most affects frequency content.

Decreasing the analysis window N decreases frequency resolution.  ${\cal N}=32$ 



Decreasing the analysis window N decreases frequency resolution.  ${\cal N}=24$ 



Decreasing the analysis window N decreases frequency resolution.  $\ensuremath{N}=16$ 



Decreasing the analysis window N decreases frequency resolution.  $\ensuremath{N=12}$ 



Frequency blurring is fundamental to the way the DFT works. Longer windows provide finer frequency resolution.



The width of the central lobe is inversely related to window length.

#### Length of Analysis Window N

The DFT provides a new parameter (N) to customize performance.

The time window is divided into N samples numbered n = 0 to N-1.



Discrete frequencies are similarly numbered as k = 0 to N-1.



 ${\cal N}$  determines both the length of the window in time and the frequency resolution of the result.

#### Length of Analysis Window N

The DFT provides a new parameter (N) to customize performance.

The time window is divided into N samples numbered n = 0 to N-1.



Discrete frequencies are similarly numbered as k = 0 to N-1.



Which is better: big or small values of N?

Example: Determine the frequency content of the following sound.

cello: DEb3.wav ( $f_s = 44, 100 \text{ Hz}$ )

Extract 1024 samples and calculate DFT.



Information about pitch is at low frequencies. Zoom in on k = 0 to 24.



### **Check Yourself**



What is the corresponding frequency in Hz?

1.	293.66 Hz	2.	301.46 Hz
3.	146.83 Hz	4.	150.73 Hz

5. None of the above

### **Check Yourself**

The magnitude of the DFT is largest at k = 7.



What is the corresponding frequency in Hz? Use proportional reasoning:

$$\frac{f_o}{f_s} = \frac{k_o}{N}$$

#### Length of Analysis Window N

The DFT provides a new parameter (N) to customize performance.

The time window is divided into N samples numbered n = 0 to N-1.



Discrete frequencies are similarly numbered as k = 0 to N-1.



#### **Check Yourself**

The magnitude of the DFT is largest at k = 7.



What is the corresponding frequency in Hz? Use proportional reasoning:

$$\frac{f_o}{f_s} = \frac{k_o}{N} \to f_o = \frac{k_o}{N} f_s = \frac{7}{1024} \times 44100 \approx 301.46 \text{ Hz}$$

This frequency is between D4 (293.66 Hz) and E-flat-4 (311.13 Hz).

### **Check Yourself**



What is the corresponding frequency in Hz? 2

- 1. 293.66 Hz
   2. 301.46 Hz
  - 3. 146.83 Hz 4. 150.73 Hz
    - 5. None of the above

Information about pitch is at low frequencies. Zoom in on k = 0 to 24.



The DFT provides integer resolution in k. Therefore, the peak at k = 7 could be off by as much as  $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ .

$$\Delta f = \frac{\Delta k}{N} f_s = \frac{1/2}{1024} \times 44100 \approx 21.5 \, \mathrm{Hz}$$

Thus the frequency of the biggest peak is  $280 < f_o < 323$ , easily including both D (293.66 Hz) and E-flat (311.13 Hz).

### **Improving Frequency Resolution**

We can increase  ${\cal N}$  to increase the number of analyzed frequencies.

Two methods to increase N:

- zero-padding (add zeros to increase length of input)
- increase sample size

Original (N=1024).



What happens if we increase the length of the signal by adding zeros?



cients of  $X_2$  between adjacent coefficients of  $X_1$ .

Lengthen by a factor of 4 (N=4096).



Lengthen by a factor of 8 (N=8192).



The stem plots can be distracting when they are close together. (They also take a long time to compute!) Replot using lines (but remember that the signals are DT).

Original (N=1024).



Lengthen by a factor of 2 (N=2048).



Lengthen by a factor of 4 (N=4096).



Lengthen by a factor of 8 (N=8192).



Peak is now at k = 55.

$$f_o = \frac{k_o}{N} f_s = \frac{55}{8 \times 1024} 44100 \approx 296 \, \mathrm{Hz}$$

compared to our previous estimate of  $301.46\,\mathrm{Hz}.$ 

More importantly, frequencies are sampled more densely:

$$\Delta f = \frac{\Delta k}{N} f_s = \frac{1/2}{8 \times 1024} \times 44100 \approx 2.7 \, \mathrm{Hz}$$

But we still cannot tell if the note was D (293.66 Hz) or E-flat (311.13 Hz).



Which of the following is/are true?

- 1. Zero-padding has no effect on the DTFT of  $x_w[n]$ .
- 2. Zero-padding decreases spectral smear in the DTFT.
- 3. Zero-padding has no effect on the sampled version  $X_w(\Omega)$ .
- 4. Zero-padding decreases the frequency interval (Hz) of DFT samples.



Which of the following is/are true?

- 1. Zero-padding has no effect on the DTFT of  $x_w[n]$ .  $\checkmark$
- 2. Zero-padding decreases spectral smear in the DTFT of  $x_w[n]$ . X
- 3. Zero-padding has no effect on the sampled version of  $X_w(\Omega)$ . X
- 4. Zero-padding decreases the frequency interval (Hz) of DFT samples.

In order to increase **frequency resolution**, we need to include more data.

Original (N=1024).



Lengthen by a factor of 2 (N=2048).



Lengthen by a factor of 4 (N=4096).



Lengthen by a factor of 8 (N=8192).



Switching again to line plots ...

Original (N=1024).



Lengthen by a factor of 2 (N=2048).



Lengthen by a factor of 4 (N=4096).



Lengthen by a factor of 8 (N=8192).



Lengthen by a factor of 16 (N=16,384).



Lengthen by a factor of 32 (N=32,768).



Clear peaks at k = 217 and k = 228 (f = 292.04 Hz and f = 306.85 Hz).  $\rightarrow$  close to D (293.66 Hz) and E-flat (311.13 Hz): both notes are present! Anything else?

Lengthen by a factor of 32 (N=32,768).



Clear peaks at k = 217 and k = 228 (f = 292.04 Hz and f = 306.85 Hz).  $\rightarrow$  close to D (293.66 Hz) and E-flat (311.13 Hz): both notes are present!

Notice that these are the second harmonics of lower frequencies.  $\rightarrow$  an octave lower than was suggested by the analysis with N=1024.

The fundamental components were not clearly resolved with N = 1024 but are clear with N = 32,768.

### Summary: Frequency Resolution

Increasing the length of the analysis by zero padding increases the **number of frequency points** (because sampling is more dense) but does not increase frequency **resolution** (because windowing is unchanged).

To increase frequency resolution we must increase the number of data that are analyzed.

In addition to being useful for characterizing the frequency content of a signal, the DFT can also be used to implement convolution.

Recall the convolution result for the DTFT.

If

$$f_a[n] \stackrel{\text{DTFT}}{\Longrightarrow} F_a(\Omega)$$

and

$$f_b[n] \stackrel{\text{DTFT}}{\Longrightarrow} F_b(\Omega)$$

then

 $(f_a * f_b)[n] \stackrel{\text{DTFT}}{\Longrightarrow} F_a(\Omega)F_b(\Omega)$ 

In addition to being useful for characterizing the frequency content of a signal, the DFT can also be used to implement convolution.

Recall the convolution result for the DTFT.

If

$$f_a[n] \stackrel{\text{DTFT}}{\Longrightarrow} F_a(\Omega)$$

and

$$f_b[n] \stackrel{\text{DTFT}}{\Longrightarrow} F_b(\Omega)$$

then

 $(f_a * f_b)[n] \stackrel{\text{DTFT}}{\Longrightarrow} F_a(\Omega)F_b(\Omega)$ 

This property is the basis of the filtering view of a system:

$$f_{a}[n] \longrightarrow f_{b}[n] \longrightarrow (f_{a} * f_{b})[n]$$

$$F_{a}(\Omega) \longrightarrow F_{b}(\Omega) \longrightarrow F_{a}(\Omega)F_{b}(\Omega)$$

#### **Regular Convolution**

Why does multiplication in frequency correspond to convolution in time?

Let 
$$F(\Omega) = F_a(\Omega) \times F_b(\Omega)$$
. Find  $f[n]$ .  

$$f[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} F(\Omega) e^{j\Omega n} d\Omega$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} F_a(\Omega) F_b(\Omega) e^{j\Omega n} d\Omega$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} F_a(\Omega) \Big(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f_b[m] e^{-j\Omega m} \Big) e^{j\Omega n} d\Omega$$

$$= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f_b[m] \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} F_a(\Omega) e^{j\Omega(n-m)} d\Omega}_{f_a[n-m]}$$

$$= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f_b[m] f_a[n-m] \equiv (f_a * f_b)[n]$$

Multiplying in frequency is equivalent to convolving in time.

The argument for the DFT is similar to the one for the DTFT.

Let  $F[k] = F_a[k] \times F_b[k]$ . Find f[n].

$$f[n] = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F[k] e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N}n} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F_a[k] F_b[k] e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N}n}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F_a[k] \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} f_b[m] e^{-j\frac{2\pi k}{N}m}\right) e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N}n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} f_b[m] \underbrace{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F_a[k] e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N}(n-m)}\right)}_{f_a[n-m]?}$$

No!  $f_a[n]$  is only defined for  $0 \le n < N$ , but n-m can fall outside that range.

The argument for the DFT is similar to the one for the DTFT.

Let  $F[k] = F_a[k] \times F_b[k]$ . Find f[n].

$$f[n] = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F[k] e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N}n} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F_a[k] F_b[k] e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N}n}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F_a[k] \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} f_b[m] e^{-j\frac{2\pi k}{N}m}\right) e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N}n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} f_b[m] \underbrace{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F_a[k] e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N}(n-m)}\right)}_{f_a[(n-m) \bmod N]}$$

Since k is integer, the complex exponential is periodic in n-m, period N. Therefore the parenthesized expression is  $f_a[(n-m) \mod N]$ , and

$$f[n] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} f_b[m] f_a[(n-m) \mod N] \equiv \frac{1}{N} (f_a \circledast f_b)[n]$$

Multiplfying DFTs is equivalent to circular convolution in time.

If

$$f_a[n] \stackrel{\text{DFT}}{\Longrightarrow} F_a[k]$$

and

$$f_b[n] \stackrel{\text{DFT}}{\Longrightarrow} F_b[k]$$

then

$$\frac{1}{N}(f_a \circledast f_b)[n] \stackrel{\text{DFT}}{\Longrightarrow} F_a[k]F_b[k]$$

where

$$(f_a \circledast f_b)[n] = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} f_b[m] f_a[(n-m) \mod N]$$

#### Superposition View of Conventional Convolution



 $(f_a * f_b)[n] = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f_b[m] f_a[n-m] = f_b[0] f_a[n] + f_b[1] f_a[n-1] + f_b[2] f_a[n-2]$ 



### Superposition View of Circular Convolution (N=5)

$$f_{b}[0]f_{a}[n \mod N] \qquad 1 \xrightarrow{4} \overbrace{0}^{0} \overbrace{0}^{0} n$$

$$f_{b}[1]f_{a}[(n-1) \mod N] \qquad 1 \xrightarrow{4} \overbrace{0}^{0} \overbrace{0}^{0} n$$

$$f_{b}[2]f_{a}[(n-2) \mod N] \qquad 1 \xrightarrow{4} \overbrace{0}^{0} \overbrace{0}^{0} \overbrace{0}^{0} n$$

$$(f_{a} \circledast f_{b})[n] \qquad 3 \xrightarrow{4} \overbrace{0}^{0} \overbrace{0}^{0} \overbrace{4}^{0} n$$

$f_a[0]$	$f_a[1]$	$f_a[2]$	$f_a[3]$	$f_a[4]$
$f_a[4]$	$f_a[0]$	$f_a[1]$	$f_a[2]$	$f_a[3]$
$f_a[3]$	$f_a[4]$	$f_a[0]$	$f_a[1]$	$f_a[2]$

## Side By Side

The parts of the conventional convolution that would fall outside the DFT window "alias" to points inside the DFT window.



#### Summary

Today we discussed two critical issues in using the DFT.

- Frequency resolution how the length of a signal determines the ability to discriminate frequencies using the DFT.
- Circular Convolution how the DFT can be used to carry out time domain operations.

### Question of the Day

Determine the value of the following expression:

$$\left(\delta[n{-}2]\circledast\left(u[n{-}1]-u[n{-}4]\right)\right)[2]$$

where the circular convolution has length  $N{=}5$ .